IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

KENNETH W. HOPKINS, :

: CIVIL ACTION NO. 08-CV-____

Plaintiff, :

VS.

.

CITY OF WILMINGTON,

:

Defendant. :

COMPLAINT - JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1. Plaintiff Kenneth Hopkins brings this action for equitable relief and monetary damages to redress the deprivation of civil rights secured to him by the Section 504 of the Rehab Act, while he was an employee of the City of Wilmington.

Specifically, he alleges that he was not allowed to do his job when and that, while he was initially provided with a reasonable accommodation, he was ultimately terminated from his employment when the Defendant rescinded said accommodation, and that the defendant's actions caused him mental distress and humiliation, and loss of employment and compensation. He seeks back pay, reinstatement or front pay, compensatory damages, attorneys fees, expenses and costs of this action.

II. <u>JURISDICTION</u>

- Jurisdiction of this civil rights action is conferred on this Court by 28
 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 1331.
 - 3. Plaintiffs' claims are authorized by 29 U.S.C. §794(a).

4. Venue herein is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c), and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(3) as the acts complained of herein all occurred within this judicial district.

III. PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Kenneth Hopkins is a citizen of the U.S. currently residing in Wilmington, DE and is subject to the jurisdiction of this court.
- 6. Defendant City of Wilmington is a municipality that receives federal funds, and was the employer who terminated plaintiff's employment. The City of Wilmington's offices are located at 800 French Street in Wilmington, DE. Defendant is therefore subject to the jurisdiction of this court.

IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 7. Mr. Hopkins was hired by the City of Wilmington as a technical maintenance worker ("building technician") on September 29, 1988.
- 8. On July 1, 1994, plaintiff was promoted to the position of Assistant Public Property Manager.
- 9. On June 16, 1998, plaintiff injured his left knee in the course of his work.

 As a result of the injury he was unable to kneel. Defendant provided him with the reasonable accommodation of not having to kneel and to have the right to ask an assistant to handle any kneeling tasks that came up in the course of his work.
- 10. Plaintiff received treatment for his injury which included, among other things, an arthroscopic procedure on February 4, 1999. Unfortunately, plaintiff's knee continued to give him problems including pain and stiffness. He ultimately underwent a

full knee replacement on January 5, 2004. Even though the surgery was successful, plaintiff has continued to have the work restriction that he is unable to kneel. He has also been issued a permanent impairment rating for the whole person of 20% and 50% for the left lower extremity.

- 11. Plaintiff returned to work in May of 2004 and was allowed to continue to work with the reasonable accommodation that he was not required to kneel during the course of his work. When there were occasions which required a person to kneel plaintiff was allowed to ask Sammuel Baise to perform those duties.
 - 12. In 2004 plaintiff was diagnosed with hepatitis C.
 - 13. Plaintiff was prescribed interferon to treat the condition.
- 14. In 2005 the hepatitis showed up in tests and it was decided by his treating physicians that interferon could not longer be used to treat his condition. Shortly thereafter Plaintiff enrolled in a clinical drug trial at Einstein Medical Center to see if the drugs being tested would help his condition.
- 15. Unfortunately, Plaintiff suffered a bad reaction to the drugs he was being given. As a result he was forced to stop working on or about February 23, 2006.
- 16. In November of 2006 plaintiff's medical condition had improved to the point that his physician released him to return to work. Plaintiff returned to work for Defendant in the same capacity he was in when he stopped working in February of 2006. Defendant did not inform plaintiff at that time that it was not going to continue to reasonably accommodate him in the foreseeable future.

3

- 17. On March 16 Plaintiff was told by the physician at the City dispensary that the City no longer had a modified duty job for him and he was to go home. He did not however receive his workers' compensation indemnity checks initially. On May 8, 2007, plaintiff's workers' compensation attorney, Michael Bednash, spoke with Pamela White, with the City's Personnel Department. Ms. White explained that the City was going to try to get Mr. Hopkins to retire and that toward that end a skills assessment was going to be scheduled in the near future.
- 18. That very day, May 8, 2007 Defendant claims it sent a notice to plaintiff informing him that it could not continue to provide him with the reasonable accommodation. The Defendant contends that the letter was sent by Certified Mail. In that letter Defendant scheduled a skills assessment for plaintiff to take place on May 15, 2007. Plaintiff never received this letter and did not attend the skills assessment.
- 19. On June 1, 2007, without any evidence to indicate that Mr. Hopkins had received the letter of May 8, 2007, Monica Gonzalez-Gillespie, Defendant's Director of Personnel sent plaintiff a letter informing him that his failure to attend the skills assessment mean that his employment had been terminated to be effective as of June 8, 2007.
- 20. Plaintiff received the June 1 letter on June 4, 2007. His attorney contacted Ms. Gonzalez-Gillespie and informed her that neither he nor Mr. Hopkins had received notice of the skills assessment or else Mr. Hopkins would have attended the appointment. Mr. Bednash asked if the skills assessment could be rescheduled. Ms. Gonzalez

informed him that it could be rescheduled but Mr. Hopkins' termination was still effective.

- 21. Plaintiff made an appointment for a skills assessment to take place on June 18, 2007.
- 22. Mr. Hopkins attended the skills assessment. Even though the skills assessment indicated that he could perform the positions of Stores Clerk, Custodian or School Crossing Guard, Defendant did not place him in one of those positions, indicating that he could apply for one of them just like a member of the general public. Plaintiff applied for those jobs but was never given an interview.

COUNT I

REHAB ACT VIOLATION

- 23. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 22 as though set forth fully herein.
- 24. As a result of his medical condition plaintiff has a significant impairment in the major life activity of "working", among others, and is therefore an individual with a disability under the Rehab Act.
- 25. Plaintiff was able to perform the essential functions of his job as an Assistant Public Property Manager either with, or without, a reasonable accommodation, and is therefore a qualified individual with a disability under the Rehab Act.
- 26. The unlawful actions of defendant in preventing plaintiff from performing his duties and then refusing to afford plaintiff a reasonable accommodation which would

have allowed him to continue to work, and then terminating his employment, solely because of his disability, constitute intentional violations of the Rehab Act.

27. As a direct result of defendant's willful and unlawful actions in violation of the Rehab Act, plaintiff has suffered emotional pain and distress, and has sustained a loss of earnings, benefits, contributions to his pension, and front pay and interest due thereon.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter a judgment in his favor:

- (a) Awarding lost wages, including lost fringe benefits;
- (b) Awarding compensatory damages;

Case 1:08-cv-00302-JJF

- (c) Order his reinstatement, or in the alternative, award front pay;
- (d) Awarding the costs of this action, together with reasonable attorney's fees; and
- (e) granting such other relief as the Court deems necessary and appropriate.

PLAINTIFF HEREBY DEMANDS A JURY TRIAL FOR ALL CLAIMS RAISED

Respectfully submitted,

THE NEUBERGER FIRM, P.A.

/s/ Stephen J. Neuberger
STEPHEN J. NEUBERGER, ESQ. (#4440)
Two East Seventh Street, Suite 302
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 655-0582
SJN@NeubergerLaw.com

Dated: May 21, 2008 Attorney for Plaintiff

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

and of the Civil of Court to	and purpose or minute.	B and or the acceptor of		22 11 10 1110 0 110110	OIT THE REPEDENCE	112 1 014.1.)
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDAN	TS	
KENNETH W. HOPKI	NS			CITY OF WII	MINGTON	
(b) County of Residence o	f First Listed Plaintiff CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF	NEW CASTLE CASES)		NOTE: IN LAN	dence of First Listed (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE ID CONDEMNATION CASES, US INVOLVED.	,
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nam	e, Address, and Telephone	Number)		Attorneys (If Kn	own)	
Stephen J. Neu THE NEUBERGER TWO EAST SEVEN WILMINGTON, DE 302-655-0582	FIRM, P.A. TH STREET SUITE 302	·				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☑ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	nent Not a Party)	·	r Diversity Cases Only) zen of This State	DEF 1 Incorporated or of Business Is	and One Box for Defendant) DEF Principal Place □ 4 □ 4 n This State
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citize in Item III)	enship of Parties	Citi	zen of Another State		nd Principal Place 5 5 5 n Another State
				zen or Subject of a oreign Country	l 3 □ 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	Γ (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)				
CONTRACT	то	RTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medieare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Exel. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 362 Personal Injury 315 Airplane Product Liability 365 Personal Injury 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 368 Asbestos Person Injury Product Liability 1369 Personal Injury Product Liability 1369 Personal Injury Product Liability 1370 Other Fraud 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lendin 370 Motor Vehicle 385 Motor Vehicle 385 Motor Vehicle 700 Other Personal Property Dama 1360 Other Personal Injury 1360 Other Personal In		RTY	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airlime Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations		□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETIT	IONS	730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	□ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 895 Freedom of
□ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 444 Welfare □ 440 Other Civil Rights	□ 510 Motions to Vaca Sentence Habeas Corpus: □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty □ 540 Mandamus & O □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition	ate	& Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 890 Other Statutory Actions
V. ORIGIN ☑ 1 Original □ 2 R	tate Court A	Remanded from [Appellate Court	Re	nstated or	ferred from er district fy)	
		nal statutes unless diversit deprivation of		ite brief statement of cause. :iff's Civil Righ	ts under Section 504	of the
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		S IS A CLASS ACTION	ON I	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	r if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF A	TTOR/TEY	Tellez		
	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP	0	JUDGE	MAG III	DGE

United States District Court for the District of Delaware Civil Action No. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT FOR AO FORM 85
United States District Court for the District of Delaware Civil Action No. ACKNOWLEDGMENT
Civil Action No. ACKNOWLEDGMENT
ACKNOWLEDGMENT
NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY OF A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE TO EXERCISE JURISDICTION
HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF COPIES OF AO FORM 85.
5/21/08
(Date forms issued) (Signature of Party or their Representative)
(Printed name of Party or their Representative)
ote: Completed receipt will be filed in the Civil Action